ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the influence of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) and participant demographics on nutrition education outcomes.

Methods: At program enrollment (pre) and 1 month later (post), a statewide convenience sample of adults, who participated in the Plan, Shop, Save, and Cook program, completed a 7-item questionnaire to evaluate change in resource management skills (RMS) and running out of food before the end of the month.

Results: Percent of participants (n = 3,744) who reported behavioral improvements in RMS ranged from 38.8% in comparing prices to 54% in reading labels. Female gender and Hispanic ethnicity were positively related to pre–post RMS change (P = .001). Participants who received SNAP food assistance and made greater pre–post improvement in RMS reported the greatest decrease in running out of food (P = .001).

Conclusions and Implications: Both food assistance and education on nutrition and resource management are needed to reduce food insecurity in SNAP-eligible audiences.
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services, pawning possessions, and limiting purchases of milk, vegetables, and fruit. A North Carolina study (n = 202) revealed that body mass index was greater in households receiving < $150 in SNAP food assistance per member, compared with those who received ≥ $150.10 Food insecurity and obesity may coexist because the least expensive foods are often low in nutrients and energy dense.11-13 However, SNAP alone without nutrition education may not be sufficient to help participants adhere to a healthy diet.14

To counter the dual problem of food insecurity and obesity, nutrition education, including SNAP Nutrition Education (SNAP-Ed), can help participants maximize use of food dollars to buy healthy foods by teaching individual and household economic self-sufficiency.15 Low-income households with greater financial management skills are less likely to be food insecure.16 A multi-state study has reported the effectiveness of nutrition education in improving food resource management skills in low-income audiences.17 An effective SNAP-Ed program intervention teaches household resource management skills, and when coupled with SNAP food assistance, can help reduce food insecurity and increase purchases of healthful foods.

To respond to this need, cooperative extension nutrition advisors created the Plan, Shop, Save, and Cook (PSSC) class series, adapted from Eating Smart Being Active, which is based on the Social Cognitive and Adult Learning Theories (http://www.ext.colostate.edu/esba/). In the 4-class PSSC series, paraprofessionals teach participants how to plan meals, read food labels to select healthy foods, compare prices to save money, and implement time-saving cooking tips. Each 1-hour class, offered to small groups of adults in a community setting, includes skill-building activities such as creating a menu and comparing it with current dietary recommendations. Participants taste low-cost healthy foods and receive recipes to try at home. The University of California at Davis SNAP-Ed program, known as UC CalFresh, began delivering PSSC statewide in 2011. Using statewide data from 2011 to 2013, this article determines the influence of SNAP participation and demographic characteristics on PSSC nutrition education outcomes, defined as an increase in using food resource management skills and decrease in running out of food (ROF) before the end of the month (an indicator of food security).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The University of California at Davis Institutional Review Board approved the Human Subjects Protocol for this study. The Human Subjects Protocol approves materials and procedures for program evaluation and does not require written or verbal consent of participants. In federal fiscal year 2010, the UC CalFresh State office mandated all county programs to include adults in their nutrition education efforts. All cooperative extension paraprofessionals participated in online webinar training on recruitment, direct delivery methods, and evaluation of the PSSC program. From October, 2011 through September, 2013, paraprofessionals in 15 California counties partnered with various community-based organizations to recruit SNAP or SNAP-eligible adult participants. The PSSC classes were delivered on-site to small groups averaging 15 adults over 1-month periods. Participants completed the pre- and postevaluation questionnaire before the first lesson and 1 month later at the end of lesson 4. Only those who completed both pre- and postquestionnaires are included in the sample. At baseline only, they also completed a 5-item demographic form that asked about age, gender, race, ethnicity, and SNAP participation. The pre-post tool included 6 resource management items and 1 food security item with a 5-option Likert-type response: 0 = never; 1 = seldom; 2 = sometimes; 3 = most of the time; and 4 = always. These items were chosen because previous studies have reported significant associations between several of them and diet quality.18 Moreover, they are used nationally to evaluate similar nutrition education classes offered through the Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program.19

A food resource management score (RMS) was created by summing the frequency (0 = never to 4 = always) of planning meals, using a list, comparing prices, reading labels, thinking about healthy choices, and eating varied meals (range, 0–30; Cronbach α = .77). Pre-post change in score for ROF ranged from −4 to +4 based on the item, How often do you run out of food before the end of the month? Because other random events in participants’ lives beyond the PSSC program, such as the addition of a new family member or sudden job loss, can influence food security, the pre-post ROF change could be positive or negative. A negative sign in ROF may indicate a potential improvement in food security. Definitions for race included non-Hispanic white (1) or other race (0). Definitions for ethnicity included Hispanic/Latino (1) or non-Hispanic/Latino (0). Age was < 60 years (0) or ≥ 60 years (1).

The researchers analyzed data using SAS for Windows (version 9.30, SAS Institute, Cary NC, 2010). Frequencies were calculated for the 5 demographic variables. Chi-square test was used to examine differences in demographic characteristics between 2011–2012 and 2012–2013. Based on the Anderson–Darling test for normality, distributions of the behavioral items were not normal. Therefore, the signed-rank test was used to examine pre-post change in the behavioral items. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to examine bivariate relationships. Multivariate linear regression (PROC GLM) was used to examine the influence of SNAP, age, race, ethnicity, and gender on program outcomes. The RMS analysis explored whether interactions existed between SNAP participation and the demographic characteristics. The analysis also examined potential interactions between pre-post change in RMS and SNAP in reducing ROF. P > .05 was considered not significant.

RESULTS

Few demographic differences were observed between fiscal year 2011–2012 (n = 1,371) and fiscal year
2012–2013 (n = 2,371), although the program reached more participants in its second year. Most PSSC participants in both years were aged 18–59 years (89%), female (74%), and of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity (65%). More than half (63% in 2011–2012 and 54% in 2012–2013; P < .001; 58% total sample) were currently enrolled in the SNAP program.

Table 1 lists the percentage of participants who reported improvement (pre–post changes) in resource management behaviors. The greatest behavior change was observed in using the Nutrition Facts label (54% in 2012 and 52.2% in 2013 reported doing so). More than a third reported a reduction in ROF before the end of the month (33.4% in 2012 vs 29.3% in 2013). Participants who received SNAP benefits and made more pre–post improvement in RMS reported the greatest decrease in ROF (mean change, –0.52 in SNAP vs –0.23 in non-SNAP participants; P = .001).

DISCUSSION

A short (1-month) follow-up period after delivery of the PSSC program revealed that greater improvement in resource management skills was associated with a reduction in food insecurity, as measured by ROF before the end of the month. However, the association was significant only in participants who received SNAP benefits.

Previous research in low-income audiences has shown that short-term interventions can change attitudes and increase financial savvy related to purchasing healthy foods.\(^1\)–\(^3\) The finding that Latino ethnicity was associated with greater change in resource management skills may be because of their relatively high levels of food insecurity, which could act as a motivator to stretch food dollars. Another reason may be that recently arrived Latino immigrants are less familiar with the US food system, compared with US-born Latinos, and may lack knowledge and skills to choose food products offered in a large supermarket. A study conducted in California reported that Latinas with low acculturation levels use smaller grocery stores more often than larger supermarkets.\(^1\) Thus, the likelihood of increasing knowledge and skills through education may be higher in Spanish-speaking, low-income Latino adults than in the general US population.

In this study, females reported greater behavior change than male participants. One possibility is that households headed by single mothers are particularly vulnerable to food insecurity\(^1\) and thus, women who are able to attend a 4-session class may be more motivated and ready to make changes.

It can be difficult to determine the effect of SNAP on reducing food insecurity because of the self-selection bias of needier households into the program. Nonetheless, national data comparing short-term food security outcomes among SNAP participants and those leaving the program suggest that SNAP has an ameliorative effect of 20% to 50% on very low food security.\(^4\) A small longitudinal study in Massachusetts (n = 107) did not find a significant reduction in food insecurity or improvement in diet quality over a 3-month period among SNAP recipients, compared with program applicants.\(^5\) However, neither of these studies examined the contribution of changes in resource management skills, tied to nutrition education, on food insecurity in SNAP and non-SNAP populations. A randomized controlled intervention evaluating the Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program reported that food resource management skills improved as a result of nutrition education and, more importantly, continued to improve with completion of the classes.\(^6\) However, food insecurity, as measured by ROF, did not continue to improve.

The findings from this study and previous research\(^7\)–\(^10\),\(^21\) suggest that neither nutrition education nor food
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Table 1. Pretest and Posttest Resource Management Behaviors in Plan, Shop, Save, and Cook Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items (%)</th>
<th>FY12</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>FY12</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>FY12</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>FY12</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>FY12</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>FY12</th>
<th>FY13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pretest: always or most</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>64.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posttest: always or most</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>79.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants who improved</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY indicates financial year.

Notes: n = 1,373, FY12; n = 2,371, FY13. Signed-rank test was used for pre–post responses, based on a 5-point Likert scale, for each item (both separately and years combined); P < .001.
assistance alone is sufficient to affect food insecurity. The current study suggests that nutrition education that promotes resource management behaviors, in combination with SNAP benefits, can help families make their food last until the next paycheck and SNAP benefits arrive.

Limitations of this study include lack of a control or comparison group that did not receive the PSSC program, a short follow-up period (1 month), only 1 item used as an indicator of food insecurity, lack of data on participants who were lost to follow-up, lack of data on amounts of SNAP benefits participants received, and limited demographic data on participants. Because SNAP and SNAP-eligible populations are hard to reach and sometimes transitory, long-term follow-up studies may not yield a representative sample without investing significant effort and funds. However, the strength of the study is a large, statewide sample on a SNAP-Ed adult population, in a real-world setting, that is demographically similar over 2 consecutive years. Although only a few demographic and outcome variables were collected to reduce participant burden, the study is able to report on the same national behavior change indicators used in the Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program. This ultimately will enable comparison with findings from studies in other US Department of Agriculture programs and in other states.\(^{16}\)

### CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

The goal of SNAP-Ed is to improve the likelihood that persons eligible for SNAP make healthy food choices, within limited budgets, consistent with the Dietary Guidelines for Americans. Targeted nutrition education programs such as PSSC, linked with food assistance benefits and designed to support comprehensive, community-based strategies, can make healthier food choices easier and improve food security, even within limited budgets and under stressful life situations. Further studies should examine the longer-term impact of resource management education, delivered through PSSC and other SNAP-Ed programs, on food insecurity and other outcomes in low-income adults. A key area to explore is whether resource management education can help low-income women living in high-stress situations improve food security and counteract the purchase of inexpensive energy-dense foods.
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